

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,065	10/060,065 01/29/2002		Toshio Ota	14897-099002/H1-107PCT3-U 9602	
26161	7590	06/30/2004		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST				PROUTY, REBECCA E	
BOSTON, MA 02110		10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1652	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/060,065	OTA ET AL.				
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Rebecca E. Prouty	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	and appears on the cover office with	in the contespondence dual coe				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty larry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	-					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Tolli consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority do 	ocuments have been received.					
	ocuments have been received in Ap	•				
•	the priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the Internationa	·	and a				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 	D-948) Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info					

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065 Art Unit: 1652

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 11-12 and 15 drawn to DNA, vectors, hosts cells and expression of the protein of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 194.
- II. Claims 1-8, 11-12 and 15 drawn to DNA, vectors, hosts cells and expression of the protein of SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 435, subclass 196.
- III. Claims 9 and 10 drawn to the protein of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 194.
- IV. Claims 9 and 10 drawn to the protein of SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 435, subclass 196.
- V. Claims 13-14, drawn to antibodies to the protein of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- VI. Claims 13-14, drawn to antibodies to the protein of SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- VII. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to methods of screening for compounds which bind to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 15.
- VIII Claims 16 and 17, drawn to methods of screening for compounds which bind to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 435, subclass 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065
Art Unit: 1652

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The DNAs of Groups I and II and the proteins of Groups III-VI, each comprise a chemically unrelated structure capable of separate manufacture, use and effect. The DNAs comprise unrelated nucleic acid sequences and the proteins each comprise unrelated amino acid sequences. The DNAs of Groups I and II have other utility besides encoding the proteins of Groups III and IV such as a hybridization probe, the proteins of Groups III and IV can be made by another method such as isolation from natural sources or chemical synthesis and the proteins of Groups III and IV have other utility besides acting as an antigen to induce the antibodies of Groups V and VI such as a kinase or phosphatase.

Inventions III and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein can be used to induce antibodies.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065

Art Unit: 1652

The DNA of Groups I-II, the protein of Group IV and the antibodies of Group V and VI are unrelated to the methods of Group VII as they are neither used nor made by the method of Group VII.

Inventions IV and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein can be used to induce antibodies.

The DNA of Groups I-II, the protein of Group III and the antibodies of Group V and VI are unrelated to the methods of Group VIII as they are neither used nor made by the method of Group VIII.

The methods of Groups VII and VIII are independent as they comprise different steps, utilize different products and produce different results.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065 Art Unit: 1652

different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance,

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065

Art Unit: 1652

whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

Application/Control Number: 10/060,065

Art Unit: 1652

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4000. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Rebecca Prouty
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1652